Public Document Pack



PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON MEETING

WEDNESDAY 1 DECEMBER 2021 6.30 PM

Virtual Meeting via Zoom

AGENDA

		Page No
1.	Apologies for Absence	
2.	Minutes of the Parish Council Liaison Meeting Held on 15 September 2021	3 - 6
3.	Proposal from the Good Neighbours - Rural Peterborough Scheme to Assist Communities to Recommence Events Post-COVID	
4.	Future Arrangements for Parish Council Liaison	7 - 10
5.	Scoping for Parish Conference Themes	

Committee Members:

Councillors: D. Over (Chair), A. Ali Substitute: Cllr J. Howard All Parish Councillors and Parish Clerks

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from on telephone 01733 384628 or by email – david.beauchamp@peterborough.gov.uk.

This page is intentionally left blank



MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON MEETING HELD AT 6.30PM, ON WEDNESDAY, 15 SEPTEMBER 2021 VIRTUAL MEETING VIA ZOOM

Present:

Cllr David Over Chair

Parish Cllr Keith Lievesley
Parish Cllr Dawn Magnus
Parish Cllr Alyson Willlans
Parish Cllr Jason Merrill
Ufford Parish Council
Eye Parish Council
Thorney Parish Council
Bretton Parish Council

Parish Cllr Neil Boyce Castor Parish Council and Co-opted Member, Communities

Scrutiny Committee

Parish Cllr Michael Chambers Orton Waterville Parish Council

Parish Councillor Michael Samways Ailsworth Parish Council and Co-opted Member, Growth

Environment and Resources Scrutiny Committee

Elaine Matthews Think Communities Manager
David Beauchamp Democratic Services Officer

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Parish Cllr Henry Clark, Peakirk Parish Council and Parish Councillor June Bull, Orton Longueville Parish Council.

2. MINUTES OF THE PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON MEETING HELD ON 23 FEBRUARY 2021

The Minutes of the Parish Council Liaison Meeting held on 23 February 2021 were agreed as a true and accurate record.

3. RURAL CHARTER – REVIEW AND SUGGESTED ALTERATIONS

The Chair introduced the item and invited comments from attendees on changes needed to the Rural Charter.

The Parish Council Liaison meeting debated the item and in summary, key points raised and responses to questions included:

- Members commented that the Parish Charter and Rural Vision needed to be reviewed by a working group.
- The Parish Charter would be inclusive of both urban and rural parishes.
- It was agreed to set up a working group to review the Parish Charter which would then be bought back for review at the next two meetings of Parish Council Liaison. The Rural Vision would then be discussed at the subsequent two meetings.

ACTIONS AGREED

• It was agreed to set up a working group to review the Parish Charter which would then be bought back for review at the next two meetings of Parish Council Liaison. The Rural Vision would then be discussed at the subsequent two meetings.

4. PARISH CONFERENCE – SCOPING FOR THEMES

The Think Communities Manager introduced this item and made the following points:

- Peterborough Parishes had been invited to attend the 2020 Cambridgeshire Parish Conference which was successfully held online.
- After the 2021 election, a consensus had emerged that Peterborough should have a bespoke Parish Conference.
- The conference would likely be held in January 2022.

The Think Communities Manager invited attendees' suggestions for themes for the parish conference:

- It was agreed that all parishes would bring back ideas for themes for the Parish Conference for discussion at the next Parish Council Liaison meeting. The conference would be inclusive of both urban and rural parishes.
- Members suggested that old Parish Council Liaison agendas could be reviewed to help identify potential themes for the Parish Conference.
- Members commented that the theme should not be Planning as this had been discussed at a recent conference.
- Members suggested the Environment as a possible theme, including flooding.

ACTIONS AGREED:

• It was agreed that all parishes would bring back ideas for themes for the Parish Conference for discussion at the next Parish Council Liaison meeting.

5. LIAISON WITH CO-OPTED MEMBERS

The Parish Council Liaison meeting debated the item and in summary, key points raised and responses to questions included:

- Members commented that there was not always effective liaison between this
 meeting and the parish councillor co-opted members on Peterborough City
 Council's Scrutiny Committees.
- Members commented that as the co-opted members were appointed to represent rural areas, it was difficult for them to represent the interests of urban parishes. It was suggested that the Parish Council Liaison meeting could nominate additional representatives to the scrutiny committees to represent the views of urban parishes.
- Members requested that the Democratic Services Officer synchronises agenda items between the scrutiny committees and Parish Council Liaison
- The Chair suggested the following themes for discussion at Parish Council Liaison and onward referral to the Scrutiny Committees as a single item, following discussions with Cate Harding, Co-ordinator, Good Neighbours – Rural Peterborough
 - Transport
 - Care and Supporting People
 - Environmental Issues.

- Parish Neil Boyce commented that Parish Council Liaison might attract more attendees if it was run independently of Peterborough City Council and proposed that the Good Neighbours Rural Peterborough took on this responsibility. There was a perception that that parishes had insufficient influence over agenda setting. An additional meeting could be established, meeting fortnightly, to fulfil the role of the old Parish Council Liaison working group. If Parish Council Liaison was to be organised independently, Cllr Over would remain Chair. The Good Neighbours scheme was already contracted by the Council to provide COVID-19 support and had the ability to communicate with all parish councils.
- The Chair expressed support for this proposal as a positive alternative to the current 'top-down' model for Parish Council Liaison.
- It was agreed that Cllr Over and Neil Boyce would contact Cate Harding to discuss these proposals in more detail in order to progress them.
- No formal constitutional processes were needed to make amendments to the governance arrangements for Parish Council Liaison. The only reference to the meeting in the City Council's Constitution was in relation to its responsibility for nominating scrutiny co-opted members.
- It was agreed that the Democratic Services Officer would discuss the proposals with Cllr Steve Allen, Cabinet Member for Housing, Culture and Communities, to seek his approval to proceed and also liaise with the Think Communities Manager and Parish Cllr Neil Boyce regarding the way forward.
- It was agreed that Parish Cllr Neil Boyce would circulate information on the Good Neighbours scheme to Parish Cllr Michael Samways.

ACTIONS AGREED

- Members requested that the Democratic Services Officer synchronises agenda items between the scrutiny committees and Parish Council Liaison.
- It was agreed that Cllr Over and Neil Boyce would contact Cate Harding to discuss these proposals in more detail in order to progress them.
- It was agreed that the Democratic Services Officer would discuss the proposals with Cllr Steve Allen, Cabinet Member for Housing, Culture and Communities, to seek his approval to proceed and also liaise with the Think Communities Manager and Parish Cllr Neil Boyce regarding the way forward.
- It was agreed that Parish Cllr Neil Boyce would circulate information on the Good Neighbours scheme to Parish Cllr Michael Samways.

PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON WORK PROGRAMME

There were no comments by members or officers.

7. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

1 December 2021

6.

CHAIR

6.30 pm - 7.09 pm

This page is intentionally left blank

PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON	AGENDA ITEM No. 4.
1 DECEMBER 2021	PUBLIC REPORT

Report of:		Adrian Chapman – Service Director, Communities and Partnerships		
Cabinet Member(s) responsible:		Councillor Steve Allen – Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing, Culture and Communities		
Contact Officer(s):	Elaine Matthews – Think Communities Manager			
	David Beauchamp – Democratic Services Officer		01733 384628	

FUTURE ARRANGEMENTS FOR PARISH COUNCIL LIAISON

RECOMMENDATIONS	
FROM: Adrian Chapman – Service Director, Communities and Partnerships	Deadline date: N/A

It is recommended that the Parish Council Liaison Meeting either:

- 1. Agrees to the Good Neighbours Rural Peterborough Scheme taking over responsibility for the running of Parish Council Liaison (PCL) meetings from Peterborough City Council OR
- 2. Agrees to continue the present arrangements with Parish Council Liaison being supported by the Think Communities and Democratic Services teams of Peterborough City Council.

1. ORIGIN OF REPORT

1.1 This report is presented following a proposal raised by Parish Councillor Neil Boyce at the Parish Council Liaison meeting on 15 September 2021.

2. PURPOSE AND REASON FOR REPORT

2.1 The purpose of this report is to set out the two options to the Parish Council Liaison meeting, so that each Parish Council representative can make an informed decision on the future running of the Parish Council Liaison group.

3. TIMESCALES

Is this a Major Policy	No	If yes, date for	N/A
Item/Statutory Plan?		Cabinet meeting	

4. BACKGROUND AND KEY ISSUES

4.1 The Parish Council Liaison meeting has been organised by Peterborough City Council for a number of years as a means for Parish Councils to be kept informed about City Council policies and programmes relevant to rural and urban parished areas of the City and to provide feedback on these policies from a parish perspective.

Under Peterborough City Council's Constitution, the meeting also has responsibility for nominating the non-voting parish councillor co-opted members on the Council's Scrutiny Committees although in recent years this has been done via the Chair of the meeting, with administrative support provided by the Think Communities service area. The meeting has also received reports from these Co-opted Members on Scrutiny Committee proceedings for discussion by parish councillors and clerks.

Following changes to staffing and concerns raised about the lack of parish influence over agenda setting, it was felt appropriate to consult Peterborough's parishes on how they wished these meetings to be organised in the future. This was made possible following receipt of an offer from the Good Neighbours — Rural Peterborough organisation to take over the running of Parish Council Liaison Meetings. This offer was discussed informally at the Liaison meeting on 15 September 2021 and it was subsequently agreed by the Chair, officers, and the Cabinet Member for Housing, Culture and Communities that any new model needed the consent of parishes to take forward.

Parish Representatives are therefore invited to consider the two options set out below:

Option 1:

The offer from Good Neighbours – Rural Peterborough:

"Initially we are offering to independently host and coordinate the Parish Council Liaison meetings with the primary aim of retaining a focus on the issues directly affecting the Peterborough Parish Councils.

Our objectives would be to:

- 1. Collectively review the Parish Charter and Rural Vision and ensure these are up to date (and reflect any changes to roles and expectations as a consequence of these proposals).
- 2. Have an understanding of the priority needs of Parish Councils and maintain a working action plan to reflect and address these.
- 3. Be a key point of contact for the Clerks on advice / support and signposting.
- 4. Support the development and delivery of localised projects and initiatives that enhance the health and wellbeing of parish residents.
- 5. Have a membership model that ensures the Charitable Incorporated Organisation (CIO) can be financially self-sufficient and non-grant dependent.
- 6. Operate with a Board of Trustees made up of members from the Parish Councils
- 7. Collectively have a shared box of skills and experiences that can be mutually beneficial for all.
- 8. Work closely with the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Association of Local Councils (CAPALC) and PCC on all mutual matters of interest.

To note: this offer is not conditional on Parish Councils becoming members of the GNRP Charity."

Option 2:

- Continue as now with meeting administration and officer support being provided by Peterborough City Council's Democratic Services and Think Communities Service areas.
- The Chair of the Parish Council Liaison meeting continuing to direct and agree agenda setting (based on feedback from the PCL members).
- Democratic Services officer input to supporting with meeting administration (arranging meeting dates, circulating agenda in advance, taking meeting notes and sharing with Group members).
- Think Communities and appropriate service lead officers attending PLG to share updates and gather input to key topics such as Covid response and vaccine take-up, business planning, community led good practice, identified key concerns from Parish Councils.
- Think Communities to be the point of contact for Clerks and Chairs via parishcouncil@peterborough.gov.uk

5. CONSULTATION

The Parish Council Liaison meeting is informal and has no Terms of Reference. The only reference to the meeting in Peterborough City Council's Constitution is with regard to its responsibility for nominating non-voting co-opted parish councillor members of the City Council's Scrutiny Committees. There are therefore no formal governance requirements for changing how the meeting is organised. However, it is the view of the City Council that changes to the running and administration of the Parish Liaison Group should be decided by the Chair and Members of the Group.

This paper and the agenda item on 1st December 2021 is therefore to provide that opportunity for each Parish Council representative to vote on the way this Group is managed in the future.

Voting will take place only at the meeting on 1st December, one vote per Parish Council. Individual Parish Councils will therefore need to agree their collective position prior to this meeting.

6. ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OR IMPACT

- 6.1 If the decision is made for Good Neighbours Rural Peterborough to host and coordinate quarterly meetings, it is done so on the understanding that:
 - This is not a PCC-funded role or function
 - All meeting hosting, coordination and administration support and associated costs are provided by GNRP.
 - GNRP need to keep Council Think Communities officers informed of the aims, actions and priorities of the Liaison Group.
 - Relevant PCC Service leads are invited to attend future Quarterly meetings to appropriately represent a service or council function with advance notice of the request to do so.

A GNRP-hosted approach will ensure a stronger focus on the issues directly affecting parish councils, with agendas being set more collaboratively by the parish community themselves. This will help enhance accountability of council services to the Parish Council community, and strengthen further the voice of parish councils when the council is deciding key policy changes or service designs.

7. REASON FOR THE RECOMMENDATION

7.1 To ensure the future direction of Parish Council Liaison meetings is decided in a democratic manner by the Parish Councils themselves.

8. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

8.1 Two contrasting options are presented by officers for consideration by Parish Councillors at the meeting: to hand over the running of PCL to the Good Neighbours Rural Peterborough Organisation, or to maintain the status quo. No other proposals have been put forward.

9. IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications

9.1 There would be a slight financial benefit to the Council from adopting the proposals of the Good Neighbours Rural Peterborough organisation as PCC officers would no longer administer these meetings. Officers from specific service areas would attend on an ad hoc basis when required.

Legal Implications

9.2 Parish Council Liaison is not a formal Committee of Council and there are therefore no legal implications arising from making changes to its governance arrangements.

10. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Used to prepare this report, in accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

- 10.1 Peterborough City Council's Constitution
- 11. APPENDICES
- 11.1 None.